1 2	CLERK. U.S. DISTRICT COURT OCT -7 2008
3 4	CENTRAL DISTRICTOF CALIFORNIA
5	DEPUTY DEPUTY
6	
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA CASE NO. 92 -498 -12
12	Plaintiff, ORDER OF DETENTION
13	vs.
14	Charis Townsent
15	Defendant.
16)
17	
18	A (*) On motion of the Government in a case allocadly involving:
20	 A. (*) On motion of the Government in a case allegedly involving: 1. (*) a crime of violence.
21	2. () an offense with maximum sentence of life imprisonment or
22	death.
23	3. () a narcotics or controlled substance offense with maximum
24	sentence of ten or more years.
25	4. () any felony - where defendant convicted of two or more prior
26	offenses described above.
27	5. () any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence that
28	involves a minor victim, or possession or use of a firearm or destructive

1	device or any other dangerous weapon, or a failure to register under 18
2	U.S.C. § 2250.
3	B. () On motion by the Government/() on Court's own motion, in a case
4	allegedly involving:
5	On the further allegation by the Government of:
6	1. (a serious risk that the defendant will flee.
7	2. () a serious risk that the defendant will:
8	a. () obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice.
9	b. () threaten, injure or intimidate a prospective witness or
10	juror, or attempt to do so.
11	C. The Government (is/ () is not entitled to a rebuttable presumption that
12	no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the defendant's
13	appearance as required and the safety or any person or the community.
14	II
15	A. (v) The Court finds that no condition or combination of conditions will
16	reasonably assure:
17	1. (the appearance of the defendant as required.
18	(v) and/or
19	2. (the safety of any person or the community.
20	B. (The Court finds that the defendant has not rebutted by sufficient
21	evidence to the contrary the presumption provided by statute.
22	III
23	The Court has considered:
24	A. the nature and circumstances of the offense(s) charged, including whether
25	the offense is a crime of violence, a Federal crime of terrorism, or involves a minor
26	victim or a controlled substance, firearm, explosive, or destructive device;
27	B. the weight of evidence against the defendant;
28	C the history and characteristics of the defendant; and

1	D. the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or the community.
2	IV
3	The Court also has considered all the evidence adduced at the hearing and the
4	arguments and/or statements of counsel, and the Pretrial Services
5	Report/recommendation.
6	V
7	The Court bases the foregoing finding(s) on the following:
8	A. (As to flight risk:
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	B. (As to danger:
16	
17	
18 19	
20	
21	
22	VI
23	A. () The Court finds that a serious risk exists the defendant will:
24	1. () obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice.
25	2. () attempt to/() threaten, injure or intimidate a witness or juror.
26	
27	
28	

1	B. The Court bases the foregoing finding(s) on the following:
2	DEFENDANT IS NOT AMENABLE TO SUPERVISION
3	
4	
5	VI
6	A. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the defendant be detained prior to trial.
7	B. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant be committed to the custody
8	of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the
9	extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in
10	custody pending appeal.
11	C. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant be afforded reasonable
12	opportunity for private consultation with counsel.
13	D. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, on order of a Court of the United States
14	or on request of any attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections
15	facility in which defendant is confined deliver the defendant to a United States marshal
16	for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.
17	1.11.
18	DATED: 10/7/04 Satruck J. Welsh
19	J ENNIFER T. LUM MARICK WAISH UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	